Interview Summary

Application No. **09/807,579**

Applicant(s)

Rommelaere et al

Examiner

Mosher

Art Unit 1648

41111111111
100
400

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <i>Mosher</i> (3)		
Date of Interview 4/3/03		
Date of litterview 4/3/03		
Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☐ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's re	epresentative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, b	rief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: None		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Cotmore et al EMBO 13:4145-52, 1994		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached.	ached. h)X N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was any other comments:	s agreed to if an agreement was reached, or	
JP indicated Cotmore reference not received with action mailed 3/7/03. MM determined that Cotmore was not mailed		
with action because it was cited in applicant's IDS, and PTO-892 was in error by failure to check the box indicating that		
the reference was not mailed.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the exallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendmavailable, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
i) 🛛 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the su	bstance of the interview (if box is checked).	
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required	